

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in website:www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No. 175/2021/SCIC

Anthony Lopes,
234, Cobravaddo,
Calangute, Bardez-Goa. 403516.

.....Appellant

V/S

1. The Public Information Officer,
Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority,
Panaji-Goa.

2. The First Appellate Authority,
Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority,
Panaji-Goa.

.....Respondents

Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar

State Chief Information Commissioner

Filed on: 05/08/2021

Decided on: 04/04/2022

ORDER

1. The Appellant, Mr. Anthony Lopes, r/o. 234, Cobravaddo, Calangute, Bardez- Goa by his application dated 26/04/2021 filed under section 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as 'Act') sought certain information from the Public Information Officer (PIO) of Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority, Panaji, Goa.
2. According to Appellant, since the said application was not responded by the PIO within stipulated time, deeming the same as refusal, he filed first appeal before the Member Secretary, Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority, Panaji-Goa being the First Appellate Authority (FAA).
3. The FAA by its order, upheld the reply of PIO and dismissed first appeal on 30/08/2021.
4. Being aggrieved with the order of FAA, the Appellant landed before the Commission by this second appeal under section 19(3) of the Act.

5. Notice was issued to the parties, pursuant to which the Adv. Venefreda Gracias appeared on behalf of PIO and filed her reply on 25/10/2021, the representative of FAA, Shri. Narayan Talavanekar appeared and placed on record the reply. With the consent of both the parties the matter was posted for final arguments on 04/04/2022.
6. During the course of argument today i.e on 04/04/2022, Ms. V. Gracias, Advocate appearing for the PIO furnished a bunch of documents to the Appellant and submitted that she has furnished all the available information to the Appellant free of cost as per his RTI application.
7. The Appellant after scrutinising the documents furnished to him, submitted that he is satisfied with the information provided to him and hence he does not want to proceed with the appeal. The Appellant also endorsed on the appeal memo that "Received a set of documents with annexures. I wish to the matter be closed and order passed."
8. In view of endorsement made by the Appellant, the matter is disposed off.
 - Proceedings closed.
 - Pronounced in the open court.
 - Notify the parties.

Sd/-

(Vishwas R. Satarkar)

State Chief Information Commissioner